IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.P.BALACHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 18TH DECEMBER 2006 / 27TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 962 of 1998()

CRA.129/1998 of V ADDL.SESSIONS COURT, ERNAKULAM
ST.571/1996 of JUDL. MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS-I, KOCHI
.....

REVN. PETITIONER:

P.K.PUSHPANGATHAN
TECHNICIAN
T-1-3, CENTRAL INSTITUTE OF FISHERIES TECHNOLOGY
MATSYAPURI-P.O., W/ISLAND, COCHIN

BY ADV. SRI.PEEYUS A.KOTTAM
SRI.P.M.MOHAMED ALI

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. P.A.SHAJU, PAZJEKKATTU HOUSE,
EDAVANAKAD

2. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KERALA
ERNAKULAM

BY ADV. SRI.SHAIJAN C.GEORGE
ADV. SRI.THOMAS JOHN AMBOOKAN, PUBLIC PROSECUTOR.

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 18/12/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K.P.BALACHANDRAN, J.

- -

Crl.R.P.NO.962 OF 1998

- -

Dated this the 18th day of December 2006

ORDER

When the case stood posted for arguments on 14-12-2006, counsel for the petitioner was absent and there was no representation on his behalf. Therefore, the case was posted to this day for disposal. Today also counsel for the petitioner has not turned up and there is no representation on his behalf even now. I heard counsel for the first respondent and perused the judgments of the courts below. I am not convinced that any illegality or impropriety is there in the findings of the courts below holding the petitioner guilty of the offence under Section 138 of N.I. Act and convicting him thereunder. The sentence awarded also is very moderate and reasonable.

In the circumstances, no interference is called for in this revision and this revision is devoid of merit. In the result, I dismiss the Crl.R.P. confirming the conviction and sentence passed against the petitioner concurrently by the courts below.

K.P.BALACHANDRAN, JUDGE

jes

K.P.BALACHANDRAN, J.

- -

Crl.R.P.NO.962 OF 1998

- -

ORDER

Dated, 18th December 2006